

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 296 041

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

63-2-4

CATALOGED BY ASTIA
AS AD NO. 296041

LINEAR PROGRAMMING UNDER UNCERTAINTY IN AN L_{∞} SPACE*

BY
CHARLES S. FISHER

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 7
DECEMBER, 1962

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-222 (77)
(NR-047-029)

FOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

*This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract ONR 222 (77) with the University of California. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

DEC 18 1963
ASTIA

CENTER FOR RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Berkeley 4, California

**LINEAR PROGRAMMING UNDER UNCERTAINTY
IN AN L_∞ SPACE***

**BY
CHARLES S. FISHER**

**TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 7
DECEMBER, 1962**

**PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-222 (77)
(NR-047-029)**

**FOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH**

***This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract ONR 222 (77) with the University of California. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.**

**CENTER FOR RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Berkeley 4, California**

LINEAR PROGRAMMING UNDER UNCERTAINTY IN AN L_∞ SPACE

by

Charles S. Fisher

Introduction

The mathematical problem discussed here is encountered in an attempt to generalize a class of economic models based on the minimax idea in game theory. The particular situation considered is that of "linear programming under uncertainty." In brief a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) is given and also a finite family \mathcal{B}_i , $i=1, \dots, n$, of sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{A} , and a linear continuous functional a on the space of n -tuples $e = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ where the e_i are real-valued functions on Ω , measurable with respect to \mathcal{B}_i . The problem then is to characterize a maximum of $\langle e, a \rangle$ when e is constrained to lie in some convex set.

The solution to this problem when Ω is finite and the constraints are given by matrix inequalities is given by R. Radner in [6]. In his paper an economic interpretation of the model is discussed. What follows is an extension to the situation in which Ω is a nonfinite, countable set, say the positive integers, \mathcal{B}_i sub- σ -fields of the discrete σ -field, and the constraints are of the form $eT \leq b$ where T is an $n \times n$ matrix whose entries t_{ij} are functions on Ω , and \leq is the usual ordering on Euclidian n - or m -space, and b is

an m -tuple of functions on Ω . The linear functional to be maximized is of the form

$$\xi(e \cdot a) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(\omega) a_i(\omega) dP(\omega) .$$

As when working with linear programming problems in finite dimensional spaces, we will characterize the dual program and establish sufficient conditions for the equivalence of the existence of a maximum and that of a nonnegative saddle point of the associated Lagrangian form.

The question as to what topology is appropriate does not arise when the function spaces are finite dimensional (i.e., Ω is a finite set) because in this situation the spaces are reflexive and their weak and strong topologies are identical. Whereas the theorems for separation of convex sets in infinite dimensional locally convex linear topological spaces depend on the class of linear functionals given and the topology in which the sets are closed. For discussions of this see Hurwicz [3] and [2], chapter 5.

The topology that will be used is that of an L_{∞} norm on an n -tuple of functions and instead of considering the entire conjugate of L_{∞} as the setting for the dual problem, we have taken L_1 . This restriction is imposed because the dual of L_{∞} has no natural economic analogue. The presentation in what follows is for the case of couples of functions. Its extension to the $n \times m$ case is immediate.

There are various different ways of stating and proving duality theorems. In what follows, a variation of D. Bratton's

excellent, though unfortunately unpublished, duality theorem in [1] is presented. The treatment of the problem is also analogous to that done by Kretchmer in [4] but simplified because of the special properties of the spaces considered.

Preliminary Lemmas and an Example of Duality

Let $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, \mathcal{A} = discrete σ -field, \mathcal{B}_1 , $i=1, 2$ sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{A} and P a positive probability measure on \mathcal{A} such that $P(\Omega) = 1$ and $P(\omega) > 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Also let $E = L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_1, P) \times L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_2, P)$, $F = L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \times L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$, and $E' = L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_1, P) \times L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_2, P)$, $F' = L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \times L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$. [E = conjugate of E' in its norm topology ($\|(e^1, e^2)\| = \max[\|e^1\|, \|e^2\|]$) and similarly F = conjugate of F' .] If $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11}(\omega) & t_{12}(\omega) \\ t_{21}(\omega) & t_{22}(\omega) \end{pmatrix}$ is a linear transformation defined on E by $(e^1, e^2) \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ then eT belongs to F and T is bounded (i.e., continuous) if $\sup_{1, j, \Omega} |t_{1j}(\omega)| = M < \infty$. For if $eT = f$ then $\|f^1\| = \sup_{\omega} |e^1(\omega)t_{11}(\omega) + e^2(\omega)t_{21}(\omega)| \leq M_2\|e\|$. The same holds for f^2 , therefore $\|eT\| \leq 2M\|e\|$.

The set $P_E = \{e \in E: (e^1(\omega), e^2(\omega)) \geq (0, 0) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega\}$ will be called the positive cone of E . Its conjugate is $P_E^\oplus = \{e' \in E': \langle e, e' \rangle \geq 0\}$. By taking ones and zero's in the appropriate coordinates, we have that $P_E^\oplus = \{e': (e'^1, e'^2) \geq (0, 0) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega\}$. Similarly $P_F = \{f: f \geq 0\}$ and $P_F^\oplus = \{f': \langle f, f' \rangle \geq 0\} = \{f': f' \geq 0 \text{ (i.e., } (f'^1, f'^2) \geq (0, 0))\}$. Also define $P_R = \{\lambda: \lambda \text{ real number and } \lambda \geq 0\}$.

We will consider the following programming problem.

Given $a \in E'$ and $b \in F$, then under what conditions is the existence of a maximum of $\langle e, a \rangle$ subject to the constraints $e \geq 0$ and $e^T \leq b$ (i.e., $b - e^T \in P_F$) equivalent to the existence of a positive saddle point of the Lagrangian, $\Phi(e, f') = \langle e, a \rangle + \langle b - e^T, f' \rangle$.

To do this we will first prove a specialization of the Minkowski-Farkas lemma and its consequences to D. Bratton's version of the duality theorem as it appears in Cowles Commission Discussion Paper: Mathematics No. 427 [1].

The reason that the following discussion is necessary is that the duality theorem depends heavily on the type of closure of certain convex sets. If X is a Banach space X^* , X^{**} is first and second conjugates then in general the X -topology (weak $*$) of X^* , the X^{**} -topology (weak), and the norm topology of X^* are distinct and of increasing fineness (i.e., contain more open sets). We will consider L_∞ whose conjugate space strictly includes L_1 ; and L_1 in the case with which we are dealing is the conjugate of a Banach space and so possesses a weak $*$ -topology.

The situation will be made clear by comparing the following two lemmas to the Minkowski-Farkas lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $X = L_1(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and $Y = L_\infty(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ where μ is finite. If P is a convex cone $\subseteq X$ then $P^{\oplus\oplus}$ coincides with the L_∞ closure of P in L_1 .

Proof:

$$P^{\oplus} = \{y \in Y: \langle y, p \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } p \in P\}$$

$$P^{\oplus\oplus} = \{x \in X: \langle y, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in P^{\oplus}\} \quad .$$

1) L_∞ closure of $P \subseteq P^{\oplus\oplus}$. If $z \in L_\infty$ closure of P , then there exists a net $p_\alpha \in P$ such that for all $w \in Y$ $\langle w, p_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle w, z \rangle$ hence for all $y \in P^{\oplus}$ $\langle y, p_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle y, z \rangle$ but $\langle y, p_\alpha \rangle \geq 0$, therefore $\langle y, z \rangle \geq 0$ and $z \in P^{\oplus\oplus}$.

2) $P^{\oplus\oplus} \subseteq L_\infty$ closure of P . If $x_0 \notin L_\infty$ closure of P , then since the L_∞ closure of P is convex and Y equals the set of all continuous linear functions on X with the L_∞ topology ([2], p. 421), we have by the Mazur-Bourgain theorem ([2], p. 417) that there exists a $y_0 \in Y$ such that $y_0(x_0) < c - \epsilon < c \leq y_0(P)$ but P is a cone so $y_0(P) \geq 0$; hence $y_0 \in P^{\oplus}$ and $y_0(x_0) < 0$; therefore $x_0 \notin P^{\oplus\oplus}$. And the lemma is established. L_∞ closure of $P = P^{\oplus\oplus}$.

On reversing the roles of X and Y in Lemma 1, we get by the same argument that the L_1 closure of P equals $P^{\oplus\oplus}$.

Note that in the above, because P is convex, its weak closure and closure in the norm coincide. In the L_1 spaces that we will consider, Ω is countable and $P(\omega) > 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ so that the weak and norm topologies are the same ([2], p. 295).

Lemma 2. Let E, E', F, F' , and T be as given above
 $T: E \rightarrow F$ then 1) the transpose T' of T where $T': F' \rightarrow E'$ is a
well-defined, linear, continuous transformation such that
 $\langle eT, f' \rangle = \langle e, T'_f \rangle$ for $e \in E, f' \in F'$; and 2) if Q is a closed
[weak or norm closed] convex cone in F , then $[T^{-1}(Q)]^{\oplus} = E$
closure of $T'(Q^{\oplus})$ in E' .

Proof:

1) For $e \in E$, $f' \in F'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle eT, f' \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} [e^1 t_{11} + e^2 t_{21}] f'^1 dP + \int_{\Omega} [e^1 t_{12} + e^2 t_{22}] f'^2 dP \\ &= \int_{\Omega} e^1 \xi^2 [t_{11} f'^2 + t_{12} f'^1] dP + \int_{\Omega} e^2 \xi^2 [t_{21} f'^1 + t_{22} f'^2] dP \\ &= \langle e, T' f' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In this situation, conditional expectation is a bounded linear operator. Linearity is immediate and if $X \in L_{\infty}$ then $\sup |X| = \|X\| < \infty$, i.e., $\|X\| \geq X(\omega) \geq -\|X\|$; therefore, $\|X\| = \xi^1 \|X\| \geq \xi^1 X \geq -\xi^1 \|X\| = -\|X\|$ so $\|\xi^1 X\| \leq \|X\|$; i.e., ξ^1 is a bounded operator. We have that if $T' f' = g$, $\|g^1\| = \|\xi^1 [t_{11} f'^1 + t_{12} f'^2]\| \leq \|\xi^1\| \cdot M \cdot 2 \|f'\| \leq 2M \|f'\|$ and similarly for g^2 . Therefore T' is well defined and continuous.

2) If $Y \subseteq F'$, then $T^{-1}(Y^{\oplus}) = [T'(Y)]^{\oplus}$, for $x \in T^{-1}(Y^{\oplus}) \iff T(x) \in Y^{\oplus} \iff \langle T(x), Y \rangle \geq 0 \iff \langle x, T'(Y) \rangle \geq 0 \iff x \in [T'(Y)]^{\oplus}$. Hence if Q is as given $Q^{\oplus\oplus} = Q$ by the comment following Lemma 1 and $[T^{-1}(Q)]^{\oplus} = [T^{-1}(Q^{\oplus\oplus})]^{\oplus} = [T'(Q^{\oplus})]^{\oplus\oplus} = E$ closure of $T'(Q^{\oplus})$ in E' because E' is as X in Lemma 1.

Applying the above two lemmas, Bratton's proof of the duality theorem follows verbatim where E, E', F, F', T are as given here and the weak* closure of $U'(P_R, P_E^{\oplus}, P_F^{\oplus})$ is replaced by the E -closure of $U'(P_R, P_E^{\oplus}, P_F^{\oplus})$ in E' .

Theorem: If $U: R \times E \rightarrow R \times E \times F$ is given by $U(\lambda, e) = (\lambda, e, \lambda b - eT)$ and $U'(P_R, P_E^{\oplus}, P_F^{\oplus})$ is E closed in E' , then the following are equivalent:

- 1) there exists a maximum of (e, a) for $e \geq 0, eT \leq b$;
- 2) there exists a minimum of (b, f') for $f' \geq 0, T'f' \geq a$;
- 3) $\Phi(e, f') = (e, a) + (b - eT, f')$ has a nonnegative saddle point.

Moreover, if there exists e, f' satisfying the constraints, then the problems 1, 2, 3 have solutions.

By making one additional assumption on the given problem a sufficient condition for applying the duality theorem is obtained.

Assumption: $(b^1(\omega), b^2(\omega)) > (\epsilon, \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and for all $\omega \in \Omega$. This is slightly stronger than the admissibility of $(0, 0)$.

We now proceed to show that the conditions of our modified duality theorem hold; i.e., if

$U: R \times E \rightarrow R \times E \times F$ is given by

$U(\lambda, e) = (\lambda, e, \lambda b - eT)$, then

$U'(P_R, P_E^\oplus, P_F^\oplus)$ is E closed in E' .

U' is expressed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (\lambda, e), U'(\delta, e', f') \rangle &= \langle U(\lambda, e), (\delta, e', f') \rangle \\
 &= \lambda \delta + \langle e, e' \rangle + \langle \lambda b - eT, f' \rangle \\
 &= \lambda(\delta + \langle b, f' \rangle) + \langle e, e' - T'f' \rangle ;
 \end{aligned}$$

hence $U'(\delta, e', f') = (\delta + \langle b, f' \rangle, e' - T'f')$. Now if $(\delta_\alpha + \langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle, e'_\alpha - T'f'_\alpha)$ is a sequence in $U'(P_R, P_E^\oplus, P_F^\oplus)$ such that it E -converges, i.e., weakly, to some $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{a}) \in R \times E'$. We wish to show that $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{a}) \in U'(P_R, P_E^\oplus, P_F^\oplus)$; i.e., if $\delta_\alpha \geq 0, f'_\alpha \geq 0, e'_\alpha \geq 0$ and

$\delta_\alpha + \langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \bar{\mu}$, $e'_\alpha - T'f'_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{a}$ (weakly), then there exists $\bar{\delta} \geq 0$, $\bar{e}' \geq 0$, $\bar{f}' \geq 0$ such that $\bar{\delta} + \langle b, \bar{f}' \rangle = \bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{e}' - T'\bar{f}' = \bar{a}$.

In the following, when subsequences are chosen they will return the original indices.

By assumption, $b \geq \epsilon > 0$ so since $f'_\alpha \geq 0$ therefore $\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle \geq 0$ and hence $\{\delta_\alpha\}$ and $\{\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle\}$ are uniformly bounded and have convergent subsequences; i.e., $\delta_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\delta}$, and we can make $\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle$ converge monotonely to some K , such that $\bar{\delta} + K = \bar{\mu}$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} b^1(\omega) f_{\alpha}^{1'}(\omega) dP + \int_{\Omega} b^2(\omega) f_{\alpha}^{2'}(\omega) dP \\ &\geq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}^{1'}(\omega) dP + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}^{2'}(\omega) dP \end{aligned}$$

because $b(\omega) > (\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $f'_\alpha \geq 0$. From this inequality and the convergence of $\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle$ we get that $\{f'_\alpha\}$ is bounded $[\epsilon \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}^{1'}(\omega) dP + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}^{2'}(\omega) dP \geq \epsilon \max\{\|f_{\alpha}^{1'}\|, \|f_{\alpha}^{2'}\|\} = \|f'_\alpha\|]$, and that $\{f'_\alpha\}$ are pointwise bounded. Hence, by taking a Cantor diagonal, $\{f'_\alpha\}$ has a pointwise convergent subsequence; i.e., $f'_\alpha \xrightarrow{pt} \bar{f}'$. Now $\int \bar{f}'^1 dP = \int \lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{1'} dP = \int \liminf_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{1'} dP \leq \liminf_{\alpha} \int f_{\alpha}^{1'} dP = \liminf_{\alpha} \|f_{\alpha}^{1'}\| < \infty$ follows from the above and Fatou's lemma. Since $\bar{f}'^1 \geq 0$, $\|\bar{f}'^1\| < \infty$ and the same result on \bar{f}'^2 yields $\bar{f}' \in F'$. By monotonicity of $\langle b, \bar{f}'_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow K$, say, $\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle - K \geq 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int [\liminf (b \cdot f'_\alpha - K)] dP \leq \liminf \int [b \cdot f'_\alpha - K] dP \\ &= \lim [\int b \cdot f'_\alpha dP - K] = \lim [\langle b, f'_\alpha \rangle - K] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 = \int [\liminf (b \cdot f'_\alpha - K)] dP = \int b \cdot \bar{f}' dP - K.$$

Therefore $\langle b, \bar{f}' \rangle = K$.

We have that $f'_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{f}'$ pointwise and $e'_\alpha - T'f'_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{a}$ weakly or, since E' is an L_1 space such that $P(\omega) > 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, the convergence is also in the L_1 norm ([2], p. 259); i.e., $j=1,2$, $\lim \int |(e'^j_\alpha - [T'f'_\alpha]^j) - \bar{a}^j| dP = 0$. Now T and, therefore, T' are obviously pointwise continuous so $\lim_\alpha T'f'_\alpha(\omega) = T'\bar{f}'(\omega)$. Also weak convergence where $P(\omega) > 0$ and A discrete implies pointwise convergence [$\langle x, f \rangle = P(\omega)f(\omega)$ for an appropriate X ([2], p. 259)] so that pointwise $\lim_\alpha e'_\alpha = T'f'_\alpha + \bar{a} = \bar{e}$. Applying Fatou's lemma $j=1,2$, $0 \leq \int |(e'^j_\alpha - [T'\bar{f}]^j) - \bar{a}^j| dP = \int \liminf |(\bar{e}^j_\alpha - [T'f'_\alpha]^j) - \bar{a}^j| dP \leq \liminf \int |(e'_\alpha - T'f'_\alpha)^j - \bar{a}^j| dP = 0$; therefore $\bar{e}' = T'\bar{f}' + \bar{a} \in E'$. Because $e'_\alpha, f'_\alpha, \delta_\alpha \geq 0$, we have $\bar{e}' \geq 0, \bar{f}' \geq 0, \bar{\delta} \geq 0$ such that $\bar{\delta} + \langle b, \bar{f}' \rangle = \bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{e}' - T'\bar{f}' = \bar{a}$. Hence $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{a}) \in U'(P_R, P_E^\oplus, P_F^\oplus)$, and it is thence weakly (i.e., E) closed in E' .

So far we have established a sufficient condition for the equivalence statement of the duality theorem to hold.

The assumption of strict admissibility of zero is not sufficient to guarantee the existence of a maximum as is seen in the finite dimensional case (e.g., $T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = (2, 3)$, $a = (1, 1)$). As the last statement of the theorem indicates, a maximum exists if the constraint set is nonvoid and the dual problem has an admissible function.

We can derive a result analogous to the finite dimension situation which holds in the particular programming problem chosen. It is that if e_0 is an admissible maximum then there exists a non-negative saddle point (e_0, f'_0) , and, examining the Lagrange form, we get

$$0 = \langle b - e_0 T, f_0 \rangle = \int (b - e_0 T)^1 f_0^{1'} dP + \int (b - e_0 T)^2 f_0^{2'} dP ;$$

but the integrands are positive so $[e_0 T(\omega)]^1 = b^1(\omega)$ except possibly when $f^{1'}(\omega) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor R. Radner for supplying motivation and guidance in this work.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Bratton, Donald, "Duality Theorem in Linear Programming," Cowles Commission Discussion Paper, Mathematics No. 427, January 6, 1955.
- [2] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J., Linear Operators, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [3] Hurwicz, L., "Programming in Linear Spaces," in Arrow, Hurwicz, Uzawa, Studies in Linear and Non-Linear Programming, Stanford University Press, 1958, pp. 33-102.
- [4] Kretchmer, K., "Some Aspects of Continuous Programming," presented at the Winter Meeting of the Econometric Society, Chicago, December 27-29, 1958.
- [5] Loeve, M., Probability Theory, 2nd Ed., Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
- [6] Radner, R., "The Linear Team: An Example of Linear Programming under Uncertainty," Cowles Foundation Paper No. 100, Cowles Foundation, New Haven.

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST
Nonr-222(77), NR-047-029
University of California

University of Chicago
Statistical Research Center
Chicago, Illinois
Attn: Prof. Paul Meier

Stanford University
Applied Math. and Stat. Lab.
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. G. J. Lieberman

University of Minnesota
Dept. of Statistics
Minneapolis, Minnesota
Attn: Prof. I. R. Savage

Florida State University
Dept. of Statistics
Tallahassee, Florida
Attn: Dr. R. A. Bradley

Princeton University
Dept. of Mathematics
Princeton, New Jersey
Attn: Prof. S. S. Wilks

Columbia University
Dept. of Mathematical
Statistics
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. T.W. Anderson

University of California
Dept. of Statistics
Berkeley 4, California
Attn: Prof. J. Neyman

University of Washington
Dept. of Mathematics
Seattle 5, Washington
Attn: Prof. Z. W. Birnbaum

Cornell University
Dept. of Mathematics
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Prof. J. Wolfowitz

Columbia University
Dept. of Industrial Engineering
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. Cyrus Derman

University of Minnesota
Dept. of Statistics
Minneapolis, Minnesota
Attn: Prof. Ingram Olkin

Columbia University
Dept. of Mathematics
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. H. Robbins

New York University
Institute of Mathematical Sciences
New York 3, New York
Attn: Prof. W. M. Hirsch

Cornell University
Dept. of Plant Breeding
Biometrics Unit
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Walter T. Federer

University of North Carolina
Statistics Department
Chapel Hill, North Carolina
Attn: Prof. Walter L. Smith

Rutgers - The State University
Statistics Center
New Brunswick, New Jersey
Attn: Prof. Martin B. Wilk

Michigan State University
Dept. of Statistics
East Lansing, Michigan
Attn: Prof. Herman Rubin

Brown University
Division of Applied Mathematics
Providence 12, Rhode Island
Attn: Prof. M. Rosenblatt

Harvard University
Dept. of Statistics
Cambridge, Massachusetts
Attn: Prof. W. G. Cochran

University of California
Management Sciences
Research Project
Los Angeles 24, Calif.
Attn: Dr. J. R. Jackson

The Research Triangle Inst.
Stat. Research Division
505 West Chapel Hill St.
Durham, North Carolina
Attn: Dr. M.R. Leadbetter

Columbia University
Dept. of Indust. Engr.
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. S.B. Littauer

University of Michigan
Dept. of Mathematics
Ann Arbor, Michigan
Attn: Prof. L. J. Savage

Carnegie Inst. of Technology
Grad. Sch. of Ind. Admn.
Schenley Park
Pittsburgh 13, Penn.
Attn: Prof. G.L. Thompson

The George Washington Univ.
Dept. of Statistics
Washington, D.C.
Attn: Prof. Harold Bright

Stanford University
Dept. of Mathematics
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. S. Karlin

Stanford University
Dept. of Statistics
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. C. Stein

Logistics Research Project
The George Washington Univ.
707 22nd St, N.W.
Washington 7, D.C.
Attn: Dr. W. H. Marlow

Cornell University
Industrial and Engineering
Administration
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Prof. Henry P. Goode

University of Wisconsin
Dept. of Statistics
Madison, Wisconsin
Attn: Prof. G.E.P. Box

Stanford University
Dept. of Economics
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. K. J. Arrow

Institute of Mathematical
Statistics
University of Copenhagen
Copenhagen, Denmark
Attn: Prof. Anders Hald

Cowles Commission for Research
in Economics
Yale University
New Haven, Connecticut
Attn: Prof. T. C. Koopmans

Mass. Inst. of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. R. A. Howard

Brown University
Dept. of Mathematics
Providence 12, Rhode Island
Attn: Prof. David Gale

The John Hopkins University
Dept. of Math. Statistics
34th and Charles Streets
Baltimore 18, Maryland
Attn: Prof. Geoffrey S. Watson

Northwestern University
Dept. of Mathematics
Evanston, Illinois
Attn: Dr. A. Charnes

Purdue University
Dept. of Economics
Lafayette, Indiana
Attn: Dr. Stanley Reiter

Princeton University
Dept. of Mathematics
Princeton, New Jersey
Attn: Prof. A. W. Tucker

University of California
Dept. of Economics
Berkeley, California
Attn: Dr. Roy Radner

Arthur D. Little, Inc.
30 Memorial Drive
Cambridge 42, Mass.
Attn: Mr. J. C. Hetrick

Case Institute of Technology
Systems Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio
Attn: Dr. Raymond Nelson,
Acting Director

Case Institute of Technology
Operations Research Group
Cleveland, Ohio
Attn: Prof. R. L. Ackoff

IBM Corporation
P.O.Box 218, Lamb Estate
Yorktown Heights, N.Y.
Attn: Dr. Ralph Gomory,
Research Center

Princeton University
Dept. of Econ. and Soc.
Princeton, New Jersey
Attn: Prof. O. Morgenstern

University of Maryland
Mathematics Department
College Park, Maryland
Attn: Prof. L. W. Cohen

University of California
Dept. of Engineering
Los Angeles 24, California
Attn: R. R. O'Neill

University of California
Institute of Engrg. Research
Berkeley 4, California
Attn: Prof. G. B. Dantzig

Applied Math. and Stat. Lab.
Dept. of Statistics
Stanford University
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. H. Solomon

The Inst. of Mngmt. Sciences
Box 626
Ann Arbor, Michigan
Attn: Prof. R. M. Thrall

Cornell University
Dept. of Indust. and Eng. Admn.
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Dr. Donald L. Iglehart

University of Minnesota
School of Bus. Admn.
Minneapolis, Minnesota
Attn: Prof. Leonid Hurwicz,
Dept. of Economics

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

Head, Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D.C.	3 copies
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2 copies
ASTIA Document Service Center Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia	10 copies
Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange Army Logistics Management Center Fort Lee, Virginia Attn: William B. Whichard	1 copy
Technical Information Officer Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D.C.	6 copies
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York Attn: J. Laderman	1 copy
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California Attn: Dr. A. R. Laufer	1 copy
Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code OW Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C.	1 copy
Institute for Defense Analyses Communications Research Division von Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey	1 copy